JUN 2 2 2006° · 1

l P	TRANSMISSION BY FAC	SIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)	Docket No. 01262 (BLL-0086)
Application No.	Filing Date November 2, 2001	Examiner Le, Karen L.	Group Art Unit 2642
Invention: SYSTEM AN	ND METHOD FOR CALLER CO	INTROLLED TIME DEMARC	CATION
I hereby certify that this	Unintentionally, copy Brief Conf. & Fee fil	of an Appl. for Patent y of Notice of Appeal, led 4/24/06 & Petition (Identify type of correspondence)	Req. for Pre-Appeal
is being facsimile transm	nitted to the United States Patent	t and Trademark Office (Fax. I	No. <u>571-273-8300</u>
on June 22,			
(Dats)			
		Sheila Sm (Typed or Frinted Name of Pert	
		- is (on marrors om spiritury
		Dheela Accelle	3)
	Note: Each naper must he	ave its own certificate of mailing.	
	itthi immi pupu diemer en	170 100 011 M 001 101 101 101 101 101 101	
,			

		pplication For Patent Aband CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity		111	ocket No. (BLL-0086)
In Re Application	Of: HONG THING	CUYEN ET AL			
Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No
10/0 6 3,554	November 2, 2001	Le, Karen L.	36192	2614	5036
Invention: SYS	TEM AND METHOD	FOR CALLER CONTROLLEI	TIME DEMA		RECEIVED ENTRAL FAX CEN
					IIIN 2 2 200
		Attention: Office of Petition Mail Stop Petition COMMISSIONER FOR PATE P.Q. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-145	<u>ENTS</u>		
	information or assista formation at (571) 272	nce is needed in completing the 2-3282.	ıls form, please	contact Petition	18
action by the Pat	ent and Trademark C	ne abandoned for failure to file Office. The date of abandonmor action plus any extension of t	ent is the day	after the expira	
	APPLICANT HERE	EBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVA	L OF THIS APP	PLICATION	
NOTE: A (1 (2 (3	Petition fee; Reply and/or lss Terminal disclain	ulres the following items: sue fee; mer with disclaimer feerequire e 8, 1995; and for all dealgn app the entire delay was unintention	pilcations; and	and plant applica	itlons
1. 🖾 A propos	ed reply to the above-	identified notice or action:			
🗆 ls en	closed. 🗵 was	filed on April 24, 2006			
The prop	osed reply is in the for	m of: Notice of Appeal, Re	equest for Pre-A	appeal Brief. Con	ıf. & Fee
2. 🗆 The issue	a fee:		06/23/2006 T	L0111 99999 936	061130 10003554
🗆 is en	closed. 🔲 was	paid on	01_FC:1453		
3. 🛭 The abar	doned application was	s a:			
🗀 desig	n application. 🛛 🖂	utility application. plant	application.		
4. 🗋 A termina	al disclaimer (and fee)	discialming a period equivalent	to the period o	if abandonment i	is enclosed.
5. 🗵 Since this	utility/plant applicatio	n was filed on or after June 8, 1	1995, no termin	al disclaimer is r	equired.
		[Pena 1 of 3]			

		Petition F Unint	or Revival Of An Aj entionally Under 37	pplication For Patent Abando CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)	oned	· I I	cket No. BLL-0086)
In	₹ 0 /	Application	Of: HONG THING	UYEN ET AL			
-	-	ation No. 183,554	Filing Date November 2, 2001	Examiner Le, Karen L.	Customer No. 36192	Group Art Unit 2614	Confirmation No. 5036
Inv	enti	on: SYS I	EM AND METHOD	FOR CALLER CONTROLLED	TIME DEMA	R CENTR	ECEIVED IAL FAX CENTER
				Calculation and Payment of	f Fees		
Enc	ose	d are the fo	ellowing fees:				
6.	×	Petition fo	ee under 37 CFR 1.17	7(m) in the emount of:			\$1,500.00
7.		Fee for re	ply in the amount of:				
8.		lasue fee	In the amount of:				
9.		Continuin	g application filing fee	e in the amount of:			
10.		Terminal	disciplimer fee in the	amount of:			
11.				·			
					Total	fees enclosed:	\$1,500.00
		A check in t	he amount of the fee	d as foilows: is enclosed. d to charge any fees which may	be required, o	r credit any overp	payment, to
Г		Deposit Acc Payment by	count No. 96-1130 credit card. Form PT	CO-2038 is attached.			
•	١	NARNING:	Information on this	s form may become public. Cr credit card information and a	redit card info authorization (rmation should on PTO-2038.	not be

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

Docket No. 01262 (BLL-0086)

In Re Application Of: HONG THI NGUYEN ET AL

Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.
10/003,554	November 2, 2001	Le, Karen L.	36192	2614	5036

Invention: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR CALLER CONTROLLED TIME DEMARCATION

Statement

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), Subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]

WARNING:

Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioner/applicants should consider reducting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available.

Signature

Dated: June 22, 2006

David A. Fox
Registration No. 38,807
CANTOR COLBURN LLP
55 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002
Telephone 860-286-2929
Facsimile 860-286-0115
Customer No. 36192

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Poetal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

(Date)

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

¢¢:

POHERINAL IIVIVI IN	TRANSMUSSION BY FAC II NGUYEN ET AL	CSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8)	Docket No. 01262 (BLL-0086)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Application No. 10/003,554	Filing Date November 2, 2001	Examiner Le, Karen L.	Group Art Unit 2642
vention: SYSTEM AN	D METHOD FOR CALLER C	ONTROLLED TIME DEMAN	RCATION
			RECEIVED
			CENTRAL FAX CENT
			JUN 2 2 2006
hereby certify that this	Notice of Appeal	Request for Pre-Appeal Brief C	Conference and Fee
being facsimile transmi	itted to the United States Pater	(Identify type of correspondence) and Trademark Office (Fax.	No. 571-273-8300
n April 24, 2	2006		
(Date)			
			•
		Sheila Sn	nedick
		(Typed or Printed Name of Per	rzon Signing Certificate)
		Ales a Que	rel
		(Signatura)	rey
	Note: Each paper must h	nave its own certificate of mailing.	

	E OF APPEAL FR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- (1)	Docket No.
<u> </u>	OF PATENT APPI	 	TERFERE	NCES (Large	¿ Enuty)	01262
In Re Application (Of: HONG THI NGU	JYEN ET AL		 -	<u></u> -	
		•				
- Cartina Ma	Fill-c Data	Evam		No	4.4.1 Init	To the No.
Application No.	Filing Date	Exami		Customer No.	· · · ·	Confirmation No.
10/003,554	November 2, 2001	Le, Kar	·	36192	2642	5036
Invention: SYST	TEM AND METHOD F	FOR CALLER C	CONTROLLER	TIME DEMA	RCATION	
	•					
	<u></u>			·		
		COMMISSION	ER FOR PAT	ENTS:	,	
	•	-				
Applicant(s) hereby	y appeal(s) to the Boa	ard of Patent Apr	peals and Inter	rierences from '	the decision of th	ne Primary
Examiner dated	9/22/2005	finally rejecting	•	and the second second		
The fee for this Not	tice of Appeal is:	\$500.00		•		•
☐ A check in the	he amount of the fee is	is enclosed.				
	r has already been aut		ve fees in this	application to a	a Deposit Accour	nt .
☑ The Director	r is hereby authorized nt to Deposit Account f	to charge any fe		• •	•	
	·					
,	credit card. Form PTC			···· tnfn	ماريح حاجيرام	ر افر ما است کا در است
warmes. on this form	Information on this t m. Provide credit card	form may veco. of Information #	me public. or and authorizat	fedit cara muoi	/Mation snoulu / ngg	not be included
VII 4	III IVIII	4 mo	IIW White	MI WILLY =	<i>1</i> 36.	
· .	7 ,					
	1 1 to	and section				
11/2	1,2	Parameter 197				
· × CL	/ C.			Dated: April	1 24, 2006	
t.·	Signature	······································			and and and	
. *		•				
David A. Fox						
Registration No. 38,				Ir	Alta Antr	
CANTOR COLBUR 55 Griffin Road Sou			'	deposited with	the United States	espondence la being Postal Service with
Bloomfield, CT 0600	102		!	sufficient postage	ge as first class m	mell in an envelope
Telephone 860-286-2	2929		ļ		e "Commissioner fo , VA 22313-1460" [3:	or Patents, P.O. Box 37 CFR 1.8(a)] on
Facsimile 860-286-0 Customer No. 36192			!	(Pate)	-	
	,		J	1		i
	01 103 10001 TE 0444			Signature	e of Person Mailing Co	orrespondence
cc:		90990936 961139	10003554	1		
	02 FC:1401 500	10.00 DA	J '	Typed or Printed	d Name of Person Mail	ing Correspondence

N)

JUN 2 2 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:	HONG THI NGUYEN ET AL	
SERIAL NO.:	10/003,554	ART UNIT:
FILED:	November 2, 2001)	2642
FOR:	SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR) CALLER CONTROLLED TIME) DEMARCATION)	EXAMINER: Le, Karen L

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent & Trademark Office via facsimile to facsimile Number (571) 273-8300 on _April 24. 2006 Shella.Smedick

Portalisation 4/24/06 signature Bate

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF CONFERENCE

In response to the Final Office Action mailed September 22, 2005 and the Advisory Action Mailed April 6, 2006, and in conjunction with the concurrently filed Notice of Appeal, Applicants request a pre-Appeal conference in view of the following remarks.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 2 2 2006

REMARKS

In response to the final Office Action dated September 22, 2005 and the Advisory Action mailed April 6, 2006, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the following remarks. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Claims 1-43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cai in view of Smith. This rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

Claim 1 recites a method for setting a limit on the duration of a voice channel communication, comprising "receiving said demarcation information at said first network element; communicating said demarcation information to said second network element, causing said second network element to store said demarcation information; and wherein said subscriber provides said demarcation information." Cai is directed to system in which a user of a telephone is granted a free bonus call if the user listens to an advertisement. Cai teaches that the bonus call lasts for a predetermined duration determined by the sponsoring entity. The sponsor of the advertisement is then billed for the bonus call (col. 3, lines 30-42).

In applying Cai, the Examiner acknowledges that Cai fails to teach a subscriber providing call demarcation information. The Examiner cites to Smith as teaching a system in which a subscriber sets the demarcation information. The Examiner submits it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Smith's feature to Cai's system in order to allow the subscriber to provide and select demarcation information. Applicants respectfully disagree that such a modification of Cai would have been obvious.

In Cai, the sponsor sets the duration of the call, because the sponsor of the advertisement eventually pays for the cost of the call. To allow the user in Cai to set the duration of the free bonus call would place the sponsor at the whim of the user, resulting in exorbitant costs to the sponsor. If the user in Cai sets the duration of the free bonus call, then the sponsor has no way to control costs associated with the advertising campaign. It simply doe not make sense to allow the user in Cai to set the duration of the

free bonus call. Thus, such a modification certainly would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner states that Cai does suggest allowing the caller to set the duration of the bonus call. Applicants respectfully disagree. The section of Cai relied upon by the Examiner relates to limitations imposed by the sponsor on the number or type of calls. Neither of these limitations is set by the caller. Thus, Cai does not suggest allowing the caller to set the duration of the bonus call.

For the above reasons, claim 1 is patentable over Cai in view of Smith. Claims 2-9 depend from claim 1 and are patentable over Cai in view of Smith for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 1.

Claim 10 recites "determining a demarcation interval for said communication based on demarcation information provided by a subscriber." As described above with reference to claim 1, there is insufficient motivation to modify Cai to include demarcation information provided by the subscriber. Thus, claim 10 is patentable over Cai in view of Smith. Claims 11-23 depend from claim 10 and are patentable over Cai in view of Smith for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 10.

Claim 24 recites "a caller-controlled call demarcation entry component." As described above with reference to claim 1, there is insufficient motivation to modify Cai to include demarcation information provided by the caller. Thus, claim 24 is patentable over Cai in view of Smith. Claims 25-31 depend from claim 24 and are patentable over Cai in view of Smith for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 24.

Claim 32 recites "wherein said demarcation interval is provided by a subscriber." As described above with reference to claim 1, there is insufficient motivation to modify Cai to include demarcation information provided by the subscriber. Thus, claim 32 is patentable over Cai in view of Smith. Claims 33-43 depend from claim 32 and are patentable over Cai in view of Smith for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 32.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this application be allowed and a Notice of Allowance issued. If the Examiner believes that a telephone

conference with Applicants' attorneys would be advantageous to the disposition of this case, the Examiner is cordially requested to telephone the undersigned.

In the event the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks deems additional fees to be due in connection with this application, Applicants' attorney hereby authorizes that such fee be charged to Deposit Account No. 06-1130.

If any extensions of time are required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136, Applications hereby petition for such extensions of time and authorize any extension fees to be charged to Deposit Account No. 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 38,807 CANTOR COLBURN LLP

55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002

Telephone (860) 286-2929

Facsimile (860) 286-0115

Customer No. 36192

Date: April 24, 2006



Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

TO: Fax Sender at 8602860115

Fax Information Date Received:

4/24/2006 12:33:58 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Total Pages: 6 (Including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimfle transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

Applicant(s); SICCO TR	ixaat yn Moiseimemart Ja ts kiyudn ii		01243 (E3Z-0060)
Appleadon No. 10/061,654	Filing Date Nevember 8, 2001	isaminer La, Estas La	Hall thA quero DNS
hventon; System an	D METEOD FOR CALLED CON	TROLLED YOUR DEMARCAT	LON
I hereby contry that this	Notice of Angelia Res	nuest for Pro-America Street Courses Streets specific company that	vace and Per
•	ited to the Urins States Patente		273-573-1300
on <u>April 24</u>	2006		
		Shella Sandid	Has Simpleoni
		Weste Clarace	
•		- leftwind	
	Hotes Each paper man bave	Septembrane numbers	ļ
			ĺ
			İ
			İ
			Ī

BEST AVAILABLE COPY